<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	
Review	

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/750,806	BRIDGE ET AL.
	Art Unit
Jean B. Fleurantin	2162
	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 19 May 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ise continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Jean B. Fleurantin</u> .	(3) <u>John Breene</u> .
(2) <u>Chat C. Do</u> .	(4)
/Chat C. Do/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193	/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193